

**REMARKS**

Claims 6-9 are pending in this application. Claims 6 and 8 have been amended to specify that the *E. coli* bacteria used in the methods of the invention are not *E. coli* secretor mutants. Support for these amendments can be found in the specification, *inter alia*, at p. 9, lines 3-5; p. 9, lines 23-24; p. 10, lines 18-20; and p. 11, lines 19-20. These passages from the specification compare the expression system of the invention with expression using *E. coli* secretor mutants to illustrate the different yields obtained between the instant invention and said secretor mutant systems.

Moreover, these amendments are consistent with Applicants' arguments of record that "the applicants claims are drawn to *E. coli*, and do not encompass the specific mutant strains of *E. coli* referenced in Schmid." Reply brief at p. 2.

If there are any fees due not enclosed herewith, including any fees required for an extension of time, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916, and please grant such extension of time.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: July 15, 2005

By: Anthony C. Tridico REG. NO. 45,958  
for Carlos Tellez REG. NO. 48,638